

# Kohn–Sham theory in the presence of magnetic field

Andre Laestadius

Received: 21 April 2014 / Accepted: 16 August 2014 / Published online: 2 September 2014  
© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2014

**Abstract** In the well-known Kohn–Sham theory in density functional theory, a fictitious non-interacting system is introduced that has the same particle density as a system of  $N$  electrons subjected to mutual Coulomb repulsion and an external electric field. For a long time, the treatment of the kinetic energy was not correct and the theory was not well-defined for  $N$ -representable particle densities. In the work of (Hadjisavvas and Theophilou in Phys Rev A 30:2183, 1984), a rigorous Kohn–Sham theory for  $N$ -representable particle densities was developed using the Levy–Lieb functional. Since a Levy–Lieb-type functional can be defined for current density functional theory formulated with the paramagnetic current density, we here develop a rigorous  $N$ -representable Kohn–Sham approach for interacting electrons in magnetic field. Furthermore, in the one-electron case, criteria for  $N$ -representable particle densities to be  $v$ -representable are given.

**Keywords** Density functional theory · Kohn–Sham theory · Levy–Lieb functional · Current density functional theory ·  $N$ -representable

## 1 Introduction

In the fundamental paper by Hohenberg and Kohn [1], the theoretical foundation of density functional theory (DFT) was established. The Hohenberg–Kohn theorem states that, for a quantum mechanical system, the particle density  $\rho$  determines the scalar potential  $v$  of the system up to a constant. From this, in principle, the ground-state wavefunction can be computed. For particle densities that come from a unique

---

A. Laestadius (✉)  
Department of Mathematics, KTH Royal Institute of Technology,  
Stockholm, Sweden  
e-mail: andre@math.kth.se

ground-state, the so-called  $v$ -representable particle densities, an energy functional was defined and proven to satisfy a variational principle [1]. Subsequently, Kohn and Sham provided an algorithm [2], the Kohn–Sham equations, for computing the density. These equations bear much resemblance to the Hartree–Fock integro-differential equations. The idea of Kohn and Sham was to introduce a fictitious system of non-interacting particles that has the same particle density as the real interacting system. The particle density can then be computed from a determinant wavefunction. This was achieved by means of the exchange–correlation functional, which accounts for the non-classical two-particle interactions and the residual between the interacting and non-interacting kinetic energy. The domain of this functional is the intersection of the set of  $v$ -representable and non-interacting  $v$ -representable particle densities. However, this functional remains unknown.

The Hohenberg–Kohn–Sham theory relies on, when minimizing the energy, one does not go outside the domain of the exchange–correlation functional. Since for a well-behaved wavefunction  $\psi$ , the corresponding  $N$ -representable particle density  $\rho$  can be non- $v$ -representable [3,4], one can not minimize freely over determinant wavefunctions (see also [5]). This means, in principle, that any  $v$ -representable formalism is unjustified. However, as was proven by Lieb in [3] (see also the related work of Levy [6]), for any  $N$ -representable particle density  $\rho$  there exists a wavefunction  $\psi$ , with particle density  $\rho$ , that minimizes the potential-free Hamiltonian (kinetic energy and repulsive two-particle interactions) under the constraint that  $\rho$  is fixed. Using the existence of such a minimizer, Hadjisavvas and Theophilou [7] developed a mathematically rigorous  $N$ -representable Kohn–Sham approach. The importance of this work relies on the fact that  $N$ -representability can be guaranteed for a proper wavefunction, whereas  $v$ -representability cannot.

In the presence of a magnetic field, no general Hohenberg–Kohn theorem has been proven to exist that is valid for any number of electrons. (In the special case  $\psi$  real-valued a Hohenberg–Kohn theorem can be proven, see [8]). For the formulation of current density functional theory (CDFT) that uses the paramagnetic current density  $j^P$ , it is well-known that the density pair  $(\rho, j^P)$  does not determine the scalar potential and vector potential of the system [9]. Counterexamples have been constructed that show that a ground-state can come from two different Hamiltonians [9,10]. Thus, the particle density  $\rho$  and the paramagnetic current density  $j^P$  do not fully determine the Hamiltonian. For a many-electron system, neither proof nor counterexample exists so far in the literature for a general Hohenberg–Kohn theorem formulated with the total current density  $j$  [10,11]. In the one-electron case, on the other hand, it is possible to give a direct proof that  $\rho$  and  $j$  determine the scalar and vector potential up to a gauge transformation [10,11].

However, since the density pair  $(\rho, j^P)$  determines the (possibly degenerate) ground-state(s) of the system [10,12], this work aims at continue the  $N$ -representable approach of [7] and develop a rigorous Kohn–Sham approach for CDFT formulated with the paramagnetic current density  $j^P$ . The  $N$ -representable Kohn–Sham approach outlined here does not use any variational principle for densities. Instead, the approach relies on the existence of minimizers for certain (Levy–Lieb-type) density functionals.

## 2 Current density functional theory

We will in this paper consider a system of  $N$  interacting electrons subjected to both an electric and a magnetic field. The system’s Hamiltonian is given by (in suitable units)

$$H(v, A) = \sum_{k=1}^N \left( (i\nabla_k - A(x_k))^2 + v(x_k) \right) + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq N} |x_k - x_l|^{-1},$$

where  $v(x)$  is the scalar potential and  $A(x)$  the vector potential. The magnetic field is computed from  $B(x) = \nabla \times A(x)$ . Throughout we will assume that the ground-state is non-degenerate, i.e.,  $\dim \ker(e_0 - H(v, A)) = 1$ , where  $e_0$  is the lowest eigenvalue of  $H(v, A)$ .

### 2.1 Preliminaries

To begin with, some mathematical concepts needed for the forthcoming discussion are introduced. We first mention some relevant function spaces. If for some  $p \in [1, \infty)$  a function  $f$  satisfies  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|^p < \infty$ , then  $f$  belongs to the normed space  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with norm  $\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = (\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|^p)^{1/p}$ . In the case  $p = \infty$ , we say  $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \text{ess sup}\{|f| \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n\} < \infty.$$

Furthermore,  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is said to belong to the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f|^2 < \infty.$$

Let  $B_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |x| \leq R\}$  for  $R > 0$ . Then  $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  whenever  $\int_{B_R} |f| < \infty$  for any  $B_R$ . For a vector  $u$  such that  $(u)_l \in L^p, l = 1, 2, 3$ , we write  $u \in (L^p)^3$ .

We say that a sequence  $\{\psi_k\} \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  converges in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -norm to  $\psi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\psi_k - \psi|^p \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , and we write  $\psi_k \rightarrow \psi$ . For the Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , with inner product  $(\psi, \phi)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi^* \phi$ , we say that  $\{\psi_k\} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  converges weakly to  $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if  $(\psi_k, \phi)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \rightarrow (\psi, \phi)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and we write  $\psi_k \rightharpoonup \psi$ . For weak convergence in  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we require  $(\psi_k, \phi)_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \rightarrow (\psi, \phi)_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where the inner product of  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is given by  $(\psi, \phi)_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi^* \phi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla \psi^* \cdot \nabla \phi$ . Weak convergence on  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  implies weak convergence in the  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  sense. A functional  $f$  is said to be weakly lower semi continuous if  $\psi_k \rightharpoonup \psi$  implies  $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(\psi_k) \geq f(\psi)$ . In particular,  $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\psi_k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \geq \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$  if  $\psi_k \rightharpoonup \psi$  weakly in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

For a fixed particle number  $N$ , define the set of proper wavefunctions to be

$$W_N = \{\psi \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^{3N}) \mid \psi \text{ antisymmetric and } \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})} = 1\}$$

and let the ground-state energy of  $H(v, A)$  be given by

$$e_0(v, A) = \inf\{\mathcal{E}_{v,A}(\psi) \mid \psi \in W_N\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{v,A}(\psi) &= \sum_{k=1}^N \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} |(i\nabla_k - A(x_k))\psi|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} |\psi|^2 v(x_k) \right) \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} |\psi|^2 |x_k - x_l|^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We will define the inner-product  $(\psi, H(v, A)\psi)_{L^2}$  as the number  $\mathcal{E}_{v,A}(\psi)$  for  $\psi \in W_N$ , even if  $H(v, A)\psi \notin L^2$ .

The particle and paramagnetic current density for  $\psi \in W_N$  are computed from

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_\psi(x) &= N \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}} |\psi(x, x_2, \dots, x_N)|^2 dx_2 \dots dx_N, \\ j_\psi^p(x) &= N \operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}} \psi^*(x, x_2, \dots, x_N) \nabla_x \psi(x, x_2, \dots, x_N) dx_2 \dots dx_N, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. We will use the notation  $\psi \mapsto (\rho, j^p)$  to mean  $\rho_\psi = \rho$  and  $j_\psi^p = j^p$ . Furthermore, we shall use the notation  $H_0$  for the Hamiltonian  $H(v, A)$  when the potential terms are set to zero, i.e.,

$$(\psi, H_0\psi)_{L^2} = \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} |\nabla_k \psi|^2 + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} |\psi|^2 |x_k - x_l|^{-1}.$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{E}_{v,A}(\psi) = (\psi, H(v, A)\psi)_{L^2} = (\psi, H_0\psi)_{L^2} + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} j_\psi^p \cdot A + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi (v + |A|^2),$$

which follows from a direct computation.

### 2.2 $N$ -representable DFT

A  $v$ -representable particle density is a density  $\rho$  that satisfies  $\rho = \rho_\psi$  and where  $\psi$  is the ground-state of some  $H(v)$ . (We will use the notation  $H(v) = H(v, 0)$  and  $e_0(v) = e_0(v, 0)$  when not considering magnetic fields). The set of  $N$ -representable particle densities is given by [3]

$$I_N = \left\{ \rho \mid \rho \geq 0, \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho = N, \rho^{1/2} \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \right\}.$$

As demonstrated by Englisch and Englisch in [4], not every  $N$ -representable particle density is  $v$ -representable. For  $\rho \in I_N$ , the Levy–Lieb functional [3,6]

$$F_{LL}(\rho) = \inf\{(\psi, H_0\psi)_{L^2} \mid \psi \in W_N, \psi \mapsto \rho\}$$

is well-defined. As was proven in [3] (Theorem 3.3), there exists a  $\psi_0 \in W_N$  such that  $F_{LL}(\rho) = (\psi_0, H_0\psi_0)_{L^2}$  and  $\rho_{\psi_0} = \rho$ . The functional  $F_{LL}(\rho)$  extends the Hohenberg–Kohn functional to  $N$ -representable densities, and for the ground-state energy we have

$$e_0(v) = \inf \left\{ F_{LL}(\rho) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho v \mid \rho \in I_N \right\}.$$

Note that the number  $e_0(v)$  is well-defined for  $v \in L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3) + L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$  even if  $H(v)$  does not have a ground-state. ( $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho v$  is finite for all  $\rho \in I_N$ , since  $I_N \subset L^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , see [3].)

### 2.3 $N$ -representable CDFT

A density pair  $(\rho, j^p)$  is said to be  $v$ -representable if there exists a  $\psi$  that is the ground-state of some Hamiltonian  $H(v, A)$  such that  $\rho = \rho_\psi$  and  $j^p = j^p_\psi$ . We denote this set of densities  $\mathcal{A}_N$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{A}_N = \{(\rho, j^p) \mid \text{there exists a } H(v, A) \text{ with ground-state } \psi \text{ such that } \psi \mapsto (\rho, j^p)\}.$$

Now, assume that  $H(v_1, A_1)$  and  $H(v_2, A_2)$  have the ground-states  $\psi$  and  $\phi$ , respectively. Then from Theorem 9 in [10], if  $\psi \mapsto (\rho, j^p)$  and  $\phi \mapsto (\rho, j^p)$ , it follows that  $\psi = \text{const.} \cdot \phi$ . For  $(\rho, j^p) \in \mathcal{A}_N$ , let  $\psi_{\rho, j^p}$  denote the ground-state of some  $H(v, A)$  such that  $\psi \mapsto (\rho, j^p)$ . Then the generalized Hohenberg–Kohn functional

$$F_{HK}(\rho, j^p) = (\psi_{\rho, j^p}, H_0\psi_{\rho, j^p})_{L^2}$$

is well-defined on  $\mathcal{A}_N$ . Furthermore (Theorem 2 in [13]),

$$e_0(v, A) = \min \left\{ F_{HK}(\rho, j^p) + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} j^p \cdot A + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho(v + |A|^2) \mid (\rho, j^p) \in \mathcal{A}_N \right\}$$

for  $(v, A) \in V_N$ , where

$$V_N = \{(v, A) \mid H(v, A) \text{ has a unique ground-state}\}.$$

However, a  $\psi \in W_N$  may be such that  $(\rho_\psi, j^p_\psi) \notin \mathcal{A}_N$ . From Proposition 3 in [13],  $\psi \in W_N$  implies that  $\psi \mapsto (\rho, j^p) \in Y_N$ , where

$$Y_N = \left\{ (\rho, j^p) \mid \rho \geq 0, \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho = N, \rho^{1/2} \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3), j^p \in (L^1(\mathbb{R}^3))^3, \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |j^p|^2 \rho^{-1} < \infty \right\}.$$

The set  $Y_N$  is referred to as the set of  $N$ -representable density pairs  $(\rho, j^p)$ . It is a convex set and  $\mathcal{A}_N \subsetneq Y_N$  (Proposition 4 in [13]). For  $(\rho, j^p) \in Y_N$ , define as in [13]

$$Q(\rho, j^p) = \inf\{(\psi, H_0\psi)_{L^2} \mid \psi \in W_N, \psi \mapsto (\rho, j^p)\}.$$

The functional  $Q(\rho, j^p)$  is the generalization of the Levy–Lieb functional  $F_{LL}(\rho)$ . It also depends on the paramagnetic current density  $j^p$ . The functional  $Q(\rho, j^p)$  inherits many properties of  $F_{LL}(\rho)$ : by Theorems 5 and 6 in [13], we have (i)  $Q(\rho, j^p) = F_{HK}(\rho, j^p)$  for  $(\rho, j^p) \in \mathcal{A}_N$ , (ii) there exists a  $\psi_m \in W_N$  such that  $Q(\rho, j^p) = (\psi_m, H_0\psi_m)_{L^2}$  and where  $\psi_m \mapsto (\rho, j^p)$ , and (iii)

$$e_0(v, A) = \inf \left\{ Q(\rho, j^p) + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} j^p \cdot A + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho(v + |A|^2) \mid (\rho, j^p) \in Y_N \right\}.$$

In [7],  $F_{LL}(\rho)$  was used to obtain a rigorous Kohn–Sham theory for  $N$ -representable densities. Before generalizing this to CDFT formulated with  $j^p$ , we shall discuss the following question raised in [7]: since a  $\psi_0 \in W_N$  exists such that  $F_{LL}(\rho) = (\psi_0, H_0\psi_0)_{L^2}$  and  $\psi_0 \mapsto \rho$ , does  $\psi_0$  satisfy any Schrödinger equation, i.e., is there a  $v(x)$  such that  $H(v)\psi = e\psi$ ?

### 3 Characterization of $V$ -representable particle densities

We start by stating the mentioned result of Lieb (Theorem 3.3 in [3]) for the functional  $F_{LL}(\rho)$ .

**Theorem 1** *There exists a  $\psi_0$  in  $W_N$  such that for  $\rho \in I_N$ ,  $F_{LL}(\rho) = (\psi_0, H_0\psi_0)_{L^2}$  and  $\rho_{\psi_0} = \rho$ .*

Let  $\rho \in I_N$ . In light of Theorem 1, if the minimizer  $\psi_0$  would be the ground-state of some Hamiltonian  $H(v)$ , then  $\rho$  would be  $v$ -representable. However, since the  $v$ -representable densities are a proper subset of the  $N$ -representable ones [4], there exists  $\rho \in I_N$  such that the corresponding minimizer  $\psi_0$  is not the ground-state of any Hamiltonian  $H(v)$ . Also note that, if  $\rho$  is  $v$ -representable, then the minimizer  $\psi_0$  is also the ground-state associated with  $\rho$ . This so since if  $\rho$  is  $v$ -representable, then by the definition of the minimizer  $\psi_0$ , we have

$$(\psi_0, H_0\psi_0)_{L^2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho v = e_0(v)$$

for some  $v$ , i.e.,  $\psi_0$  is the ground-state of  $H(v)$ . (A similar result holds for a minimizer of  $Q(\rho, j^p)$ , see Proposition 5.)

Now, let  $N = 1$ . Note the following:  $(\psi, H_0\psi)_{L^2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla\psi|^2 dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla|\psi||^2 dx$ . Thus, for  $F_{LL}(\rho)$ , it is enough to minimize over the non-negative functions of  $W_1$ , i.e.,

$$F_{LL}(\rho) = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla\psi|^2 dx \mid \psi \in W_1, \psi \geq 0, \psi^2 = \rho \right\}.$$

We now give criteria when  $\psi_0$  in Theorem 1 is an eigenfunction of some  $H(v)$ .

**Proposition 2** (i) Let  $N = 1$  and  $\rho \in I_1$  be such that  $\psi_0$  fulfills  $\Delta\psi_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  and  $\psi_0 \neq 0$  almost everywhere (a.e.), where  $\psi_0 \geq 0$  minimizes  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla\psi|^2$  subject to the constraint  $\psi^2 = \rho$ . Then there exists a  $\phi_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  and a constant  $e$  such that, with  $v - e = \phi_0/\rho^{1/2}$ ,  $\psi_0$  satisfies

$$-\Delta\psi_0 + v\psi_0 = e\psi_0,$$

and where  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v|\psi_0|^2 > -\infty$ .

(ii) For  $N = 1$ , there exists  $\rho_0 \in I_1$  such that  $\Delta\psi_0 \notin L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , and  $-\Delta\psi_0 + v\psi_0 = 0$  implies  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v|\psi_0|^2 = -\infty$ .

*Proof* By assumption,  $\psi_0 > 0$  a.e. and  $\psi_0 = \rho^{1/2}$ . Now, set  $\phi_0 = \Delta\psi_0$ , which is in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . Then with  $v - e = \phi_0/\rho^{1/2}$  the conclusion of the first part follows, since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v|\psi_0|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_0\rho^{1/2} + e \geq -\|\phi_0\|_{L^2} + e.$$

For the second part, set, for small  $|x_1|$ ,  $\rho_0(x) = \rho_1(x_1)\tilde{\rho}(x_2, x_3)$ , where  $\tilde{\rho}(x_2, x_3)$  is regular and  $\rho_1(x_1) = (a + b|x_1|^{\varepsilon+1/2})^2$ ,  $a, b > 0$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$ . Then  $\Delta\psi_0 \notin L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . Furthermore,  $-\Delta\psi_0 + v\psi_0 = 0$  implies  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v|\psi_0|^2 = -\infty$ . (The density  $\rho_0$  is the counterexample of Englisch and Englisch that shows that not every  $N$ -representable density is  $v$ -representable, see [4].) □

Note that  $\psi_0$  is not proven to be the ground-state of  $-\Delta + v$ . However, we have

**Corollary 3** Let  $\rho, \psi_0$  and  $\phi_0$  be as in Proposition 2 (i). In addition, assume that  $\phi_0 \leq C\rho^{1/2}$  for some constant  $C$  and that  $\rho^{-1} \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . Then  $\psi_0$  is the ground-state of  $-\Delta + v$ .

*Proof* From Proposition 2, we know that  $-\Delta\psi_0 + v\psi_0 = e\psi_0$ , where  $v = \phi_0/\rho^{1/2} + e$ . By Schwarz’s inequality, it follows that  $v \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . Since  $v$  is also bounded above, we have by Corollary 11.9 in [14] that  $\psi_0 > 0$  is the ground-state of  $-\Delta + v$ . □

We can thus conclude with the following characterization: if  $\rho \in I_1$  satisfies (i)  $\rho > 0$  (a.e.), (ii)  $\Delta\rho^{1/2} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  and bounded above by a constant times  $\rho^{1/2}$ , and (iii)  $\rho^{-1} \in L^1_{loc}$ , then  $\rho$  is  $v$ -representable.

#### 4 Rigorous Kohn–Sham theory for CDFT

By means of the Levy–Lieb-type density functional  $Q(\rho, j^p)$  we can formulate a rigorous  $N$ -representable Kohn–Sham approach for CDFT as that of Ref. [7] for DFT. Now, fix the particle number  $N$ . We say that a wavefunction  $\phi \in W_N$  is a determinant if there exist  $N$  orthonormal one-particle functions  $f^k$  such that

$$\phi(x_1, \dots, x_N) = (N!)^{-1/2} \det[f^k(x_l)]_{k,l}.$$

Let the space of all normalized determinants of finite kinetic energy be denoted  $W_S$ , i.e.,

$$W_S = \{\phi | \phi \text{ is a determinant, } \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})} = 1, (\phi, K\phi)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})} < \infty\},$$

where  $K = -\sum_{k=1}^N \Delta_k$ . Note that, in particular, for a  $\phi \in W_S$ , we have  $\rho_\phi = \sum_{k=1}^N |f^k|^2$  and

$$(\phi, K\phi)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})} = \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla f^k|^2 dx.$$

Thus,  $\|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})} = 1$  and  $(\phi, K\phi)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})} < \infty$  are equivalent to  $f^k \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$  for all  $k$ . Also note that a  $\psi \in W_N$  is not in general an element of  $W_S$ , i.e.,  $W_S \subsetneq W_N$ .

Furthermore, define, for a non-interacting system, the non-interacting Hamiltonian

$$H'(v, A) = \sum_{k=1}^N \left( (i\nabla_k - A(x_k))^2 + v(x_k) \right).$$

The non-interacting ground-state energy is then given by

$$e'_0(v, A) = \inf\{\mathcal{E}'_{v,A}(\psi) | \psi \in W_N\},$$

where  $\mathcal{E}'_{v,A}(\psi)$  is given by the relation

$$\mathcal{E}'_{v,A}(\psi) + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} |\psi|^2 |x_k - x_l|^{-1} = \mathcal{E}_{v,A}(\psi).$$

This motivates: set, for  $(\rho, j^p) \in Y_N$ ,

$$Q'(\rho, j^p) = \inf\{(\psi, K\psi)_{L^2} | \psi \in W_N, \psi \mapsto (\rho, j^p)\}.$$

For  $Q(\rho, j^p)$  and  $Q'(\rho, j^p)$  we have the following.

**Theorem 4** Fix  $(\rho, j^p) \in Y_N$ , then (i) there exists a  $\psi_m \in W_N$  such that  $\psi_m \mapsto (\rho, j^p)$  and  $Q(\rho, j^p) = (\psi_m, H_0\psi_m)_{L^2}$ , and (ii) there exists a  $\psi'_m \in W_N$  such that  $\psi'_m \mapsto (\rho, j^p)$  and  $Q'(\rho, j^p) = (\psi'_m, K\psi'_m)_{L^2}$ .



*Remark* Part (i) above is just Theorem 5 in [13]. However, for (ii), we can use the same proof. For the sake of completeness we will give the proof in [13] here applied to  $Q'(\rho, j^p)$ . See also the related work of Higuchi and Higuchi [15], where Theorem 5 in [13] was first suggested.

*Proof* Let  $\{\psi^j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  be a minimizing sequence, i.e.,  $\psi^j \in W_N, \psi^j \mapsto (\rho, j^p)$  and

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (\psi^j, K\psi^j)_{L^2} = Q'(\rho, j^p). \tag{1}$$

Since  $\{\psi^j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  is bounded in  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ , by the Banach–Alaoglu theorem there exists a subsequence and a  $\psi'_m \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$  such that  $\psi^{j_k} \rightharpoonup \psi'_m$  weakly in  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Since the functional  $\psi \mapsto (\psi, K\psi)_{L^2}$  is weakly lower semi continuous, we know that

$$(\psi'_m, K\psi'_m)_{L^2} \leq Q'(\rho, j^p).$$

However, it remains to prove that  $\psi'_m \mapsto (\rho, j^p)$ . In the proof of Theorem 3.3 in [3], it is shown that  $\psi^{j_k} \rightarrow \psi'_m$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$  and  $\psi'_m \mapsto \rho$ . Now, let  $g$  be the characteristic function of any measurable set in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . For  $l = 1, 2, 3$  and  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , let

$$I_l(k) = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} [(\psi^{j_k})^* \partial_l \psi^{j_k} - (\psi'_m)^* \partial_l \psi'_m] g \right|.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} I_l(k) &\leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} (\psi^{j_k} - \psi'_m)^* (\partial_l \psi^{j_k}) g \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} (\psi'_m)^* (\partial_l \psi^{j_k} - \partial_l \psi'_m) g \right| \\ &\leq \|\psi^{j_k} - \psi'_m\|_{L^2} \|(\partial_l \psi^{j_k}) g\|_{L^2} + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} (\psi'_m g^*)^* (\partial_l \psi^{j_k} - \partial_l \psi'_m) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $I_l(k)$  tends to zero as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  (because  $\psi^{j_k} \rightarrow \psi'_m$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ -norm and  $\psi^{j_k} \rightharpoonup \psi'_m$  weakly in  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ). Since  $\psi^{j_k} \mapsto j^p$  for all  $k$ , we have  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (j^p)_l g = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (j_{\psi'_m}^p)_l g$ , i.e.,  $j_{\psi'_m}^p(x) = j^p(x)$  a.e.  $\square$

**Proposition 5** Assume that  $(\rho, j^p) \in \mathcal{A}_N$ , i.e., there exists a  $H(v, A)$  with ground-state  $\psi$  such that  $\psi \mapsto (\rho, j^p)$ . Then the minimizer  $\psi_m$  is the ground-state of  $H(v, A)$ .

*Proof* Since  $\psi \mapsto (\rho, j^p)$ , we have  $(\psi, H_0\psi)_{L^2} \geq (\psi_m, H_0\psi_m)_{L^2}$ . The conclusion then follows from

$$\begin{aligned} e_0(v, A) &\leq (\psi_m, H(v, A)\psi_m)_{L^2} = (\psi_m, H_0\psi_m)_{L^2} + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} j^p \cdot A \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho(v + |A|^2) \\ &\leq (\psi, H_0\psi)_{L^2} + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} j^p \cdot A + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho(v + |A|^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$= (\psi, H(v, A)\psi)_{L^2} = e_0(v, A).$$

□

Note that when  $H_0$  is replaced by  $K$ ,  $Q'(\rho, j^p)$  is the minimal kinetic energy for  $\psi \in W_N$  such that  $\rho_\psi = \rho$  and  $j_\psi^p = j^p$ . Next we will introduce another kinetic energy density functional.

#### 4.1 Non-interacting kinetic energy density functional

Set, for  $(\rho, j^p) \in Y_N$ ,

$$T_{\det}(\rho, j^p) = \inf\{(\phi, K\phi)_{L^2} \mid \phi \in W_S, \phi \mapsto (\rho, j^p)\}.$$

For  $(\rho, j^p) \in Y_N$ , we remark that the set  $\{\phi \in W_S \mid \phi \mapsto (\rho, j^p)\}$  is not empty, at least when  $N \geq 4$ . This follows from the determinant construction in [16]. However, for all  $N$ , the set  $\{\phi \in W_S \mid \phi \mapsto (\rho, j^p), \nabla \times (j^p/\rho) = 0\}$  is non-empty (see [13, 16]).

We have that  $T_{\det}(\rho, j^p) \geq Q'(\rho, j^p)$  on  $Y_N$ . Now, let the set of non-interacting  $v$ -representable densities be denoted  $\mathcal{A}'_N$ ,

$$\mathcal{A}'_N = \{(\rho, j^p) \mid H'(v, A) \text{ has a unique ground-state}\}.$$

If  $(\rho, j^p) \in \mathcal{A}'_N$ , by the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 5, we can conclude that  $\psi'_m$  is the ground-state of some  $H'(v, A)$ . Clearly,  $\psi'_m$  is in this case a determinant. Thus,  $T_{\det}(\rho, j^p) = Q'(\rho, j^p)$  on  $\mathcal{A}'_N$ .

An important property of  $T_{\det}(\rho, j^p)$  is that the infimum actually is a minimum. For the proof, we need the following:

- (i) For  $k = 1, \dots, N$ , assume that  $f_j^k \rightarrow f^k$  in  $L^2$ -norm as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  and for each  $j$ ,  $(f_j^k, f_j^l)_{L^2} = \delta_{kl}$ . Then  $f^1, \dots, f^N$  are orthonormal. This so since

$$(f^k, f^l)_{L^2} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (f_j^k, f_j^l)_{L^2} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} [(f_j^k, f^l - f_j^l)_{L^2} + (f_j^k, f_j^l)_{L^2}] = \delta_{kl},$$

where we used that  $|(f_j^k, f^l - f_j^l)_{L^2}| \leq \|f_j^k\|_{L^2} \|f^l - f_j^l\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ .

- (ii) If  $f_j \rightharpoonup f$  weakly in  $L^2$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\|f_j\|_{L^2} \rightarrow \|f\|_{L^2}$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $f_j \rightarrow f$  in  $L^2$ -norm as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . (This is an elementary fact and can be checked by expanding  $\|f_j - f\|_{L^2}^2 = (f_j - f, f_j - f)_{L^2}$ .)

**Theorem 6** *Let  $(\rho, j^p) \in Y_N$ . If  $N < 4$  we also assume  $\nabla \times (j^p/\rho) = 0$ . Then there exists a determinant  $\phi_m$  such that  $\phi_m \mapsto (\rho, j^p)$  and  $T_{\det}(\rho, j^p) = (\phi_m, K\phi_m)_{L^2}$ .*

*Proof* Fix  $(\rho, j^p) \in Y_N$  and let  $\{D^j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset W_S$  be a sequence of minimizing determinants, i.e.,  $D^j \mapsto (\rho, j^p)$  and  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (D^j, KD^j)_{L^2} = T_{\det}(\rho, j^p)$ . From the proof of Theorem 4, there exists a subsequence  $D^{j_n}$  and a  $\phi_m \in W_N$  such that  $\phi_m \mapsto (\rho, j^p)$ ,

$$T_{\det}(\rho, j^p) = (\phi_m, K\phi_m)_{L^2}$$

and  $D^{j_n} \rightarrow \phi_m$  in  $L^2$ -norm. It remains to show that  $\phi_m \in W_S$ . To meet that end, let

$$D^j(x_1, \dots, x_N) = (N!)^{-1/2} \det[f_j^k(x_l)]_{k,l},$$

where for each  $j$  the  $N$  one-particle functions  $f_j^k$  are orthonormal. By the Banach–Alaoglu theorem, there exist  $N$  functions  $f^k$  such that (for a subsequence)  $f_j^k \rightharpoonup f^k$  weakly in  $L^2$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . We furthermore claim that  $f^1, \dots, f^N$  are orthonormal. If we could prove that  $f_j^k \rightarrow f^k$  in  $L^2$ -norm, it would follow that  $(f^k, f^l)_{L^2} = \delta_{kl}$ .

We shall prove  $f_j^k \rightarrow f^k$  by demonstrating that  $\|f_j^k\|_{L^2} \rightarrow \|f^k\|_{L^2}$ . This together with the fact that  $f_j^k \rightharpoonup f^k$  weakly in  $L^2$  gives the desired result. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and choose a characteristic function  $\chi$  such that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho(1 - \chi) < \varepsilon$ . Since for each  $j$ ,  $D^j \mapsto \rho$ , we have for each  $k$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f_j^k|^2(1 - \chi) \leq \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f_j^k|^2(1 - \chi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho(1 - \chi) < \varepsilon.$$

By the Rellich-Kondrachov theorem, we can choose a subsequence such that  $\chi f_{j_n}^k \rightarrow \chi f^k$  in  $L^2$ -norm. But this implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f^k|^2 \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \chi |f^k|^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \chi |f_{j_n}^k|^2 \geq 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Conversely, by the lower semi continuity of the  $L^2$ -norm,  $1 = \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|f_j^k\|_{L^2} \geq \|f^k\|_{L^2}$ , and we have  $\|f^k\|_{L^2} = 1$ .

Returning to the fact that  $f_{j_n}^k \rightharpoonup f^k$  weakly in  $L^2$ , we note that  $\prod_{k=1}^N f_{j_n}^k(x_k) \rightharpoonup \prod_{k=1}^N f^k(x_k)$  weakly in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$  (since product-functions are dense in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ ). But then

$$D^{j_n} \rightharpoonup (N!)^{-1/2} \det[f^k(x_l)]_{k,l},$$

where  $f^1, \dots, f^N$  are orthonormal. However, since  $D^{j_n} \rightarrow \phi_m$ , we have  $\phi_m \in W_S$ . □

### 4.2 $N$ -representable Kohn–Sham theory

In the Kohn–Sham approach [2], a non-interacting system is introduced that has the same ground-state density as the fully interacting system. The idea is then to use an element of  $W_S$ , i.e., a determinant, to compute the ground-state density. On  $\mathcal{A}'_N$ , the (generalized) Kohn–Sham density functional  $T_{KS}(\rho, j^p)$  satisfies

$$T_{KS}(\rho, j^p) = T_{\det}(\rho, j^p) = Q'(\rho, j^p).$$

Moreover,  $T_{KS}$  defines an exchange-correlation functional  $E_{xc}(\rho, j^p)$  on  $\mathcal{A}_N \cap \mathcal{A}'_N$  according to

$$E_{xc}(\rho, j^p) = F_{HK}(\rho, j^p) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho(x)\rho(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy - T_{KS}(\rho, j^p).$$

Now, to obtain an  $N$ -representable Kohn–Sham scheme, define two functionals on  $W_S$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_K(\phi) &= \inf\{(f, Kf)_{L^2} | f \in W_S, f \mapsto (\rho_\phi, j_\phi^p)\}, \\ \mathcal{G}_{H_0}(\phi) &= \inf\{(f, H_0f)_{L^2} | f \in W_N, f \mapsto (\rho_\phi, j_\phi^p)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, by Theorems 4 and 6, there exists a  $\psi_m \in W_N$  and a  $\phi_m \in W_S$  such that  $\mathcal{G}_{H_0}(\phi) = (\psi_m, H_0\psi_m)_{L^2}$  and  $\mathcal{G}_K(\phi) = (\phi_m, K\phi_m)_{L^2}$  and where  $\psi_m, \phi_m \mapsto (\rho_\phi, j_\phi^p)$ . Furthermore, we can use the existence of the minimizers  $\psi_m$  and  $\phi_m$  and define, for  $\phi \in W_S$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta T(\phi) &= (\psi_m, K\psi_m)_{L^2} - (\phi_m, K\phi_m)_{L^2}, \\ E_{xc}^W(\phi) &= (\psi_m, \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq N} |x_k - x_l|^{-1} \psi_m)_{L^2} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_\phi(x)\rho_\phi(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

On  $W_S$ , we now introduce the following energy functional

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{v,A}(\phi) &= (\phi, K\phi)_{L^2} + \Delta T(\phi) + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} j_\phi^p \cdot A \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\phi(v + |A|^2) + E_{xc}^W(\phi) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_\phi(x)\rho_\phi(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

We then have

**Theorem 7** Assume that  $H(v, A)$  has a unique ground-state  $\psi_0$ . Let  $e_0(v, A)$ ,  $\rho_0$  and  $j_0^p$  denote the ground-state energy, ground-state particle density and ground-state paramagnetic current density, respectively. If  $N < 4$  we assume that  $\nabla \times (j_0^p / \rho_0) = 0$ . Then

$$e_0(v, A) = \inf\{\mathcal{G}_{v,A}(\phi) | \phi \in W_S\} = \mathcal{G}_{v,A}(\phi_m)$$

for some  $\phi_m \in W_S$ . Moreover,  $\rho_{\phi_m} = \rho_0$  and  $j_{\phi_m}^p = j_0^p$ , i.e., the ground-state densities can be computed from the determinant  $\phi_m$  that minimizes  $\mathcal{G}_{v,A}$ .

*Proof* First note, for any  $\phi \in W_S$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{v,A}(\phi) &= (\phi, K\phi)_{L^2} + ((\psi_m, K\psi_m)_{L^2} - (\phi_m, K\phi_m)_{L^2}) \\ &+ 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} j_\phi^p \cdot A + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\phi(v + |A|^2) + (\psi_m, \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq N} |x_k - x_l|^{-1} \psi_m)_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq (\psi_m, (K + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq N} |x_k - x_l|^{-1})\psi_m)_{L^2} + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} j_\phi^p \cdot A \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\phi(v + |A|^2) \\ &= \mathcal{E}_{v,A}(\psi_m) \geq e_0(v, A), \end{aligned}$$

where we used that  $(\phi, K\phi)_{L^2} - (\phi_m, K\phi_m)_{L^2} \geq 0$  and  $\psi_m \mapsto (\rho_\phi, j_\phi^p)$ . In the next step, we want to show that there exists a  $\phi_m \in W_S$  such that  $\mathcal{G}_{v,A}(\phi_m) = e_0(v, A)$  and  $\phi_m \mapsto (\rho_0, j_0^p)$ .

Let  $\phi \in W_S$  be a determinant such that  $\phi \mapsto (\rho_0, j_0^p)$  (if  $N < 4$ , we need the assumption  $\nabla \times (j_0^p / \rho_0) = 0$ ). By Theorem 6, we then have

$$\mathcal{G}_K(\phi) = T_{\det}(\rho_0, j_0^p) = (\phi_m, K\phi_m)_{L^2},$$

for some  $\phi_m \in W_S$ . Note that  $\phi_m$  is a determinant such that  $\phi_m \mapsto (\rho_0, j_0^p)$  and

$$\mathcal{G}_K(\phi_m) = (\phi_{m,m}, K\phi_{m,m})_{L^2} = (\phi_m, K\phi_m)_{L^2}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\mathcal{G}_{H_0}(\phi_m) = Q(\rho_0, j_0^p) = (\psi_m, H_0\psi_m)_{L^2},$$

for some  $\psi_m \in W_N$ , which follows from Theorem 4. Note that  $\psi_m \mapsto (\rho_0, j_0^p) = (\rho_{\phi_m}, j_{\phi_m}^p)$ . We have,

$$\begin{aligned} e_0(v, A) &= (\psi_m, H(v, A)\psi_m)_{L^2} \\ &= (\psi_m, H_0\psi_m)_{L^2} + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} j_0^p \cdot A + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_0(v + |A|^2) \\ &= (\psi_m, K\psi_m)_{L^2} + (\psi_m, \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq N} |x_k - x_l|^{-1}\psi_m)_{L^2} + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} j_{\phi_m}^p \cdot A \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\phi_m}(v + |A|^2), \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from Proposition 5. Since

$$\Delta T(\phi_m) = (\psi_m, K\psi_m)_{L^2} - (\phi_m, K\phi_m)_{L^2}$$

and

$$E_{xc}^W(\phi_m) = (\psi_m, \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq N} |x_k - x_l|^{-1}\psi_m)_{L^2} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_{\phi_m}(x)\rho_{\phi_m}(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy,$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 e_0(v, A) &= (\phi_m, K\phi_m)_{L^2} + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} j_{\phi_m}^p \cdot A + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\phi_m} (v + |A|^2) \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_{\phi_m}(x)\rho_{\phi_m}(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy + E_{xc}^W(\phi_m) + \Delta T(\phi_m) = \mathcal{G}_{v,A}(\phi_m).
 \end{aligned}$$

□

*Remarks.*

- (i) Any density pair  $(\rho, j^p)$  computed from a  $\phi \in W_S$  is  $N$ -representable, but not necessarily (non-interacting)  $v$ -representable. So Theorem 7 establishes a Kohn–Sham approach for  $N$ -representable densities (whereas  $T_{KS}$  is only defined on  $\mathcal{A}'_N$ ).
- (ii) Recall that no Hohenberg–Kohn theorem can exist for CDFT formulated with the paramagnetic current density. On the other hand, since  $\rho$  and  $j^p$  determine the ground-state, the Hohenberg–Kohn variational principle continues to hold for CDFT formulated with these densities. However, the  $N$ -representable Kohn–Sham approach outlined here does not use any variational principle for densities.
- (iii) If we set  $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_N) = (N!)^{-1/2} \det[f^k(x_l)]_{k,l}$  and define on  $(\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3))^N$  the functional

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{E}(f^1, \dots, f^N) &= \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla f^k|^2 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \text{Im}(f^{k*} \nabla f^k) \cdot A \\
 &+ \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f^k|^2 (v + |A|^2) \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|f^k(x)|^2 |f^l(y)|^2}{|x - y|} dx dy + E_{xc},
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $E_{xc} = \Delta T + E_{xc}^W$ , we can obtain the usual Kohn–Sham equations by minimizing  $\mathcal{E}(f^1, \dots, f^N)$  subject to the constraint  $(f^k, f^l)_{L^2} = \delta_{kl}$ .

### 5 Summary

In this paper, a rigorous  $N$ -representable Kohn–Sham approach has been developed. In Theorem 6, it is proven that a minimizing determinant  $\phi_m$  exists such that

$$T_{\det}(\rho, j^p) = \inf\{(\phi, K\phi)_{L^2} \mid \phi \mapsto (\rho, j^p)\} = (\phi_m, K\phi_m)_{L^2}.$$

From this, in addition to the fact that

$$Q(\rho, j^p) = \inf\{(\psi, H_0\psi)_{L^2} \mid \psi \mapsto (\rho, j^p)\} = (\psi_m, H_0\psi_m)_{L^2},$$

for some wavefunction  $\psi_m$ ,  $T_{\det}(\rho, j^p)$  and  $Q(\rho, j^p)$  have been used to define functionals that account for the exchange-correlation energy and the residual energy between an interacting kinetic energy and a non-interacting one. In Theorem 7, the main result is given. Here it is shown that the ground-state energy and ground-state densities can be obtained by minimizing an energy functional over the set of normalized determinant wavefunctions with finite kinetic energy. Since any density pair  $(\rho, j^p)$  computed from such a determinant wavefunction is  $N$ -representable, but not necessarily (non-interacting)  $v$ -representable, Theorem 7 establishes a Kohn–Sham approach for  $N$ -representable densities.

Furthermore, in the one-electron case, the question when a minimizer  $\psi_0$  of the Levy–Lieb functional  $F_{LL}(\rho) = \inf\{(\psi, H_0\psi)_{L^2} | \psi \mapsto \rho\}$  is an eigenstate of some Hamiltonian  $H(v) = -\Delta + v(x)$  has been addressed (Proposition 2). In Corollary 3, criteria are given for  $\rho$  when this eigenstate  $\psi_0$  also is the ground-state. Thus, these criteria become sufficient conditions for a particle density to be  $v$ -representable.

**Acknowledgments** The author is thankful to Michael Benedicks for useful comments and discussions.

## References

1. P. Hohenberg, W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. **136**, B864 (1964)
2. W. Kohn, L.J. Sham, Phys. Rev. **140**, A1133 (1965)
3. E.H. Lieb, Int. J. Quant. Chem. **24**, 243 (1983)
4. H. Englisch, R. Englisch, Physica A **121**, 253 (1983)
5. T.L. Gilbert, Phys. Rev. B **12**, 2111 (1975)
6. M. Levy, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **76**, 6062 (1979)
7. N. Hadjisavvas, A. Theophilou, Phys. Rev. A **30**, 2183 (1984)
8. X.-Y. Pan, V. Sahni, Int. J. Quant. Chem. **114**, 233 (2014)
9. K. Capelle, G. Vignale, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 113106 (2002)
10. A. Laestadius, M. Benedicks, Int. J. Quant. Chem. **114**(782), 16 (2014)
11. E.I. Tellgren, S. Kvaal, E. Sagvolden, U. Ekström, A.M. Teale, T. Helgaker, Phys. Rev. A **86**, 062506 (2012)
12. G. Vignale, M. Rasolt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 2360 (1987)
13. A. Laestadius, Int. J. Quant. Chem. (2014)
14. E.H. Lieb, M. Loss, *Analysis* (American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 2001)
15. M. Higuchi, K. Higuchi, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 035113 (2004)
16. E.H. Lieb, R. Schrader, Phys. Rev. A **88**, 032516 (2013)